



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,475	04/01/2004	Barry L. Gregerson	AccuTech - Carrier Tape	6366
26365	7590	04/10/2009		
ANTHONY J. BOURGET P.O. BOX 81 EAU CLAIRE, WI 54702-0081			EXAMINER EWALD, MARIA VERONICA	
			ART UNIT 1791	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/10/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/815,475	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GREGERSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARIA VERONICA D. EWALD	<b>Art Unit</b> 1791	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Maria Veronica Ewald (USPTO). (3) Michael Hess (Applicant's representative).  
 (2) Anthony Bourget (Applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Reference(s) of Atake and Bippus.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed claim language and structural distinctions between Applicant's invention and that of the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Maria Veronica D Ewald/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1791